

217

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-28169 of 2016

Date of decision: September 19, 2016

Angrej Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Manvinder Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. AG Punjab.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.24 dated 18.02.2016, under Sections 452, 307, 506, 148/149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Daba, District Ludhiana, petitioner was arrested on 27.06.2016.

Admittedly, challan has been filed in the Court. I have perused the injury report which shows the injury of bruises and abrasions, though, the offence under Section 307 IPC has been registered. Prima-facie, I think the petitioner is entitled for bail.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Head Constable Dhanwant Singh, however, pointed out that the petitioner is facing similar type of cases of assault on others numbering 4. Though, the petitioner is entitled to grant bail in view of the above prima-facie observations, I think the petitioner having similar cases against him,

must move out of the place, namely, Ludhiana. Learned counsel for the petitioner makes a categorical statement in view of the above that the petitioner would not enter Ludhiana till the trial is completed except the dates of appearances before the trial Court. He further states that the petitioner would not apply for modification of this condition. Both the statements are accepted.

Hence, this petition is allowed. Petitioner be released on bail subject to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned which shall be entitled to impose such conditions for releasing the petitioner on bail as deem fit and proper. Petitioner shall not enter Ludhiana till the trial is completed except the dates of appearances before the trial Court and will not apply for modification of this condition. Petitioner shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence, threaten or exercise any influence on the prosecution witnesses.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

September 19, 2016

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No