

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-28069 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 08.09.2016

Amit and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. P.K. Hooda, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought regular bail in FIR No. 498 dated 29.06.2016, registered under Sections 272, 273, 420, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC and Section 61 of Excise Act, at Police Station Civil Lines Karnal, District Karnal.

It is contended that petitioners being labourers were employed by co-accused Sunil. The petitioners were working with him with a bona fide belief that he has requisite permission for carrying out the trade of liquor. The petitioners are in custody since 02.07.2016 and they are not involved in any other FIR. The main accused Sunil has already surrendered before the competent Court.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail

application and states that petitioners were arrested from the spot i.e. the house from where the liquor had been stored.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Considering the fact that the petitioners are labourers with main accused Sunil; the challan stands presented and out of total 13 PWs, none has been examined so far, therefore, it can be safely inferred that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioners be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on their furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

08.09.2016
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No