

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM NO. M-2806 of 2016
Date of decision:- May 17, 2016

Iqbal Singh and another

...Petitioners...

versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents...

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present : Mr. Jashandeep Singh Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Arjinder Singh Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Simranjit Singh Kang, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

JASPAL SINGH, J.

Instant petition has been preferred under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, seeking quashing of FIR No.21, dated 15.03.2013, under Sections 295, 355, 341, 323, 506 and 34 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act and Section 295 was later on converted into Section 295-A, Police Station Sangat, District Bathinda, Punjab along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 12.01.2016 (Annexure P-2).

2. Report of learned Judicial Magistrate has been received, in which, it has been categorically observed that compromise appears to be genuine, voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence. Even otherwise, the matter involved is personal in nature, which has been amicably put at rest.

3. So, in view of above circumstances, I am of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings between the parties would be an abuse of the process of law and the present compromise is for their benefit and will bring peace and harmony between them.

4. Consequently, the instant petition stands allowed and FIR No.21, dated 15.03.2013, under Sections 295, 355, 341, 323, 506, 34 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act and Section 295-A, Police Station Sangat, District Bathinda, Punjab and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua the petitioners.

May 17, 2016
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE