

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-28005 of 2016.

Decided on:-12.08.2016.

Rupinder Kaur Sandhu and another

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present:- Mr. Sumeet Puri, Advocate for
Mr. G.S. Ghuman, Advocate for the petitioners.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of directions to the respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that inadvertently, the respondent No.2 i.e. the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana has not been properly mentioned in the Memo of Parties. Even the representation dated 8.8.2016 (Annexure P-7) has been addressed to the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana. He prays that the respondent No.2 be read as 'the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana'.

Accordingly, the respondent No.2 is ordered to be read as 'the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana'. Registry is directed to make necessary correction in the Memo of Parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further contends that the

petitioners are major and have solemnized their marriage in accordance with law. However, respondents No.4 to 6 are not accepting their marriage and are adamant to separate them from each other by resorting to illegal means. Learned counsel further submits that in view of imminent danger to the life and liberty of the petitioners, they had moved representation (Annexure P-7) to the respondent No.2.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only at this stage.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Sultan Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of these respondents.

Let requisite number of copies of paper book be given to learned State counsel during the course of the day.

Without going into the validity of the marriage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2 to take appropriate remedial measures, as warranted by law, on the representation dated 8.8.2016 (Annexure P-7) submitted by the petitioners.

In the meantime, necessary order be passed to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6.

August 12, 2016
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes / No

Whether Reportable: Yes / No