

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH***

**Criminal Misc. No. M-27960 of 2016
Date of Decision:-08.09.2016**

Deepak

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present:- Mr. Jagjit Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Rohit Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, Deputy A.G., Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.95 dated 19.3.2016, under Section 379 IPC and Section 25/54/59 of the Arms Act (Sections 395 and 397 IPC added later on and Section 379 IPC deleted), registered at Police Station Hathin, District Palwal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner, who is about 20 years of age, is a student of second year of Journalism and Mass Communication and is pursuing his study from DAV Centenary

College, NH-3, NIT, Faridabad. He states that the petitioner has been named by co-accused Tek Chand. The trial in the case will take sufficient long time for conclusion. He further states that the petitioner is in custody since 11.5.2016 and if he is not granted the bail, his educational carrier would be ruined. Learned counsel further relies upon order dated 28.7.2016 passed in CRM-M No.24802 of 2016 titled 'Parkash @ Kallu vs. State of Haryana', whereby co-accused Parkash @ Kallu has been granted regular bail by this Court.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Banwari Lal does not dispute these facts and states that the petitioner has been named by co-accused Tek Chand. He further states that as per the disclosure statement of the petitioner, an amount of Rs.20,000/- has been recovered from him.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Without commenting on the merits of the case, though in such like cases, an accused is not entitled for grant of bail, however, taking into consideration the fact that the petitioner is a student of Bachelor of Journalism and Mass Communication and trial will take sufficient long time coupled with the fact that in case the petitioner is not granted the regular bail, his educational carrier would spoil, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

It is made clear that in future, in case the petitioner is found involved in any other case or hamper the proceedings in any manner, the

prosecution shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of his bail.

The petition is accordingly disposed of.

September 08, 2016
Vijay Asija

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No