

217 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-27956 of 2016
DECIDED ON: AUGUST 23, 2016**

VEERPAL KAUR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Gurbhajneek Singh Samra, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Paul, DAG, Punjab.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Seciton 439 Cr.P.C., petitioner has sought regular bail in case FIR No. 21 dated 19.04.2016 under Section 306 read with Section 34 IPC registered at Police Station Baretta, District Mansa.

Instant case has been registered on the basis of statement of Jasvir Kaur wife of Dharampal resident of Village Kishangarh, who has alleged that on 18.04.2016 at around 12 o' Clock, her husband came home from the fields and disclosed that Kewal Singh and Pargat Singh residents of Kishangarh came in the fields where he was working who tried to lift wheat from the heap and when he stopped them they started quarrelling with him and when her husband told them that he would complain to his landlord. They parried that in case he complain against them they would involve him in a false case for teasing their wives. Thereafter he returned to the fields and subsequent thereto, she came to

know that her husband committed suicide by consuming pesticide (spray) on account of harassment caused to him by Kewal Singh and Pargat Singh.

A glance at the afore-said contents of the FIR transpires that the name of the petitioner does not figure in the FIR and no role has been attributed to the petitioner, which ultimately drove the deceased to commit suicide. The allegations, if any are against Kewal Singh and Pargat Singh. However, subsequent thereto, on May 19, 2016 she made a supplementary statement and involved the petitioner. During the course of arguments it has emerged that petitioner is behind the bars since the date of her arrest i.e. May 20, 2016. There is also a minor child of two years approximately in her lap. After the completion of investigation report under Section 173 (2) Cr.P.C. has already been presented. Even, charges have also been framed. The disposal of trial would take considerable time.

Taking into consideration the above-said facts but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is allowed and petitioner is ordered to be released on bail at the satisfaction of learned trial Court/ Duty Magistrate.

AUGUST 23, 2016
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether	Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether	Reportable	Yes/No