

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29819 of 2013(O&M)

Decided on:-15.12.2016.

Hunny Panwar and anotherPetitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and anotherRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present:- Mr.Vivek Goyal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr.Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer made in the present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for quashing of FIR No.54 dated 24.05.2013 for offence under Section 420 IPC, registered at Police Station Kalka Distt. Panchkula.

Learned State counsel while referring to the reply already filed on behalf of respondent No.1-State of Haryana by way of affidavit of Dharamvir Singh HPS, Asstt. Commissioner of Police, Kalka Panchkula, submits that in the case in hand, the police has conducted investigation and submitted challan, thereafter, charges have been framed against the petitioner. Now, the case is fixed before the learned trial Court for prosecution evidence.

Faced with this situation particularly when the case is fixed before the learned trial Court for prosecution evidence, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the present petition, however, submits that

taking into consideration the nature of allegation, personal appearance of the petitioner may be exempted during trial.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

The prayer made by counsel for the petitioner is carries weight. Accordingly, present petition is dismissed as not pressed, however, personal appearance of the petitioner is exempted during trial unless it is necessarily required.

15.12.2016
Anjal

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>