**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-26884 of 2015.
Decided on:-18.03.2016.

Raj Pal and another

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents.

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.**

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Parmod Parmar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Rahul Makkar, Advocate for
Mr. Mukesh Yadav, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

***HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)***

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for quashing of F.I.R. No.92 dated 13.3.2015 under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC registered at Police Station City Kosli, District Rewari (Annexure P-1) on the basis of compromise by way of affidavits (Annexure P-2).

This Court vide order dated January 07, 2016 had directed the

YAG DUTT
2016.03.21 15:22
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

parties to appear before the trial Court and the trial Court was directed to send its report qua the genuineness of the compromise.

Pursuant to the aforesaid order, the parties have appeared before learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Kosli and have got their statements recorded. On the basis of the statements so recorded by the parties, learned Magistrate has submitted his report dated 3.2.2016 to the effect that genuine compromise has been effected between the parties voluntarily, without any coercion or undue influence.

Perusal of the statements of petitioners Rajpal and Ram Karan as well as respondents No.2 and 3, namely, Savitri @ Savita Devi and Anita reveals that the matter has been compromised between them and they do not have any grudge against each other and there is no dispute pending between the parties.

Moreover, the factum of compromise between the petitioners and respondents No.2 and 3 has not been disputed by learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3.

In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant F.I.R.

Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*** and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10***

YAG DUTT
2016.03.21 15:22
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

***SCC 303***, this petition is allowed and F.I.R. No.92 dated 13.3.2015 under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC registered at Police Station City Kosli, District Rewari (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed on the basis of compromise by way of affidavits (Annexure P-2).

**(HARI PAL VERMA)**
**JUDGE**

**March 18, 2016**
Yag Dutt

YAG DUTT
2016.03.21 15:22
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document