

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-26940 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 18.05.2016**

Manoj Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

Telu Ram

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Jainainder Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. read with Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in complaint case No.350-I dated 06.08.2004 titled as Telu Ram versus Manoj Kumar & another under Sections 323/342/363/452/34 IPC and Section 3 of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes Act.

On 04.09.2014, the following order was passed by this Court:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that co-accused in this very complaint has filed separate petition vide CRM NO. M-26971 of 2014 which is fixed for 22.09.2014 and interim bail has been granted in that case.

Notice issued to the respondent has not been received back served or otherwise. Fresh notice to the respondent be issued for 22.09.2014.

Put up along with CRM No. M-26971 of 2014 on the date fixed.

Interim order in the same terms as passed in CRM No. M-26971 of 2014.”

Even though complainant Telu Ram has been duly served yet no representation has been caused today on his behalf.

The uncontroverted position is that the petitioner has already joined trial proceedings and has been admitted to interim bail upon furnishing requisite bail bonds before the trial Court.

This Court vide order carrying even date i.e. 18.05.2016 has granted concession of pre-arrest bail to co-accused Roshan Lal in CRM No.M-26971 of 2014.

Accordingly, prayer made in the present petition is accepted.

Petition is allowed. Order dated 04.09.2014 passed by this Court is made absolute.

Disposed of.

18.05.2016

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**