

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(127)

CRM-M-27756-2016

Decided on: August 12, 2016.

Dharampal

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Gagan Pardeep S. Bal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioner has sought quashing of the proceedings by making a reference to the contents of the documents and statements which form part of report under Section 173 (2) Cr.P.C. He has submitted that application for discharge filed was not entertained.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and I am of the opinion that the petitioner has got a legal remedy under Section 227 and 239 Cr.P.C for seeking discharge or for amending any charge under Section 216 Cr.P.C.

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present petition with liberty to avail the alternative remedy available to the petitioner in accordance with law. It is expected that in case any such remedy is availed, the Court concerned will pass a speaking order on the application, if any.

Disposed of as withdrawn with above observation.

**(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE**

August 12, 2016

harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No