

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Date of decision : September 29, 2016

1. CRM No. M-27695 of 2016

Pradeep Singh and others

...Petitioners...

versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents...

2. CRM No. M-27994 of 2016

Anoop Singh and others

...Petitioners...

versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents...

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present : Mr. Gurjinder Singh Thind, Advocate
for the petitioner (in *CRM-M-27695-2016*) and
for respondent No.2 (in *CRM-M-27994-2016*).

Mr. Sarbjit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners (in *CRM-M-27994-2016*) and
for respondent No.2 (in *CRM-M-27695-2016*).

Mr. R.P.S. Sidhu, AAG, Punjab
for respondent No.1-State.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

JASPAL SINGH, J.

This order shall dispose of aforesaid two petitions i.e CRM No.

M-27695 of 2016, captioned as ***“Pradeep Singh and others vs. State of***

Punjab and another” and CRM No. M-27994 of 2016, captioned as ***“Anoop Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another”***.

2. CRM No. M-27695 of 2016 has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for quashing of cross case vide report No.16 dated 02.07.2016, under Sections 452, 323, 324, 326, 380, 427, 506, 148, 149 IPC in case FIR No.132 dated 29.06.2016, under Sections 452, 323, 324, 380, 427, 506, 148, 149 IPC, registered at Police Station Jandiala, Amritsar and all the consequent proceedings on the basis of compromise/affidavit dated 07.07.2016/06.08.2016.

3. CRM No. M-27994 of 2016 has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for quashing of FIR No.132 dated 29.06.2016, under Sections 452, 323, 324, 380, 427, 506, 148, 149 IPC, registered at Police Station Jandiala, District Amritsar and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise/affidavit dated 07.07.2016/02.08.2016.

4. Reports of learned trial Court have been received, in which, it has been categorically observed that a compromise is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence. Even otherwise, matter involved is personal in nature, which stood amicably settled.

5. So, in view of above circumstances and in view of the pronouncement captioned as **“Kulwinder Singh & others vs. State of Punjab and others”**; **2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, I am of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings between the parties would be an abuse of the process of law and the present compromise is for their benefit and will bring peace and harmony between them.

6. Consequently, both petitions are allowed and cross case vide report No.16 dated 02.07.2016, under Sections 452, 323, 324, 326, 380, 427, 506, 148, 149 IPC in case FIR No.132 dated 29.06.2016, under Sections 452, 323, 324, 380, 427, 506, 148, 149 IPC, registered at Police Station Jandiala, Amritsar and FIR No.132 dated 29.06.2016, under Sections 452, 323, 324, 380, 427, 506, 148, 149 IPC, registered at Police Station Jandiala, District Amritsar as well as all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua the petitioner(s).

September 29, 2016
m. sharma

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No