

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 26786 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 07.01.2016

Jagbir Singh Deswal and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. Narender Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Surajmal Kundu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kuldip Tiwari, Addl. A.G., Haryana
for the respondent/State assisted by ASI Dharambir.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail on behalf of two petitioners, namely, Jagbir Singh Deswal and Surjeet in case FIR No. 139, dated 22.06.2015 for offences punishable under Sections 417, 420, 389, 120-B and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Loharu, District Bhiwani.

As per the allegations, the petitioners/accused-Jagbir Singh Deswal JE, Surjeet Singh, Contractor alongwith three other co-accused namely, Urmila, Vijay Gurawa and Manoj were involved in blackmailing the respectable persons of the Society. The modus operandi was that the co-accused-Urmila would sexually entice persons and co-accused-Vijay Gurawa and Manoj would prepare CDs when they were in compromising position in order to subsequently blackmail and extract money from the victims. In the present case, the victim-Jaivir Singh Dhanda, Sub Divisional Officer was trapped at the behest of the

petitioners/accused-Jagbir Singh Deswal, JE and Surjeet Singh, Contractor.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that a false case has been foisted upon the petitioners and even the victim Jaivir Singh Dhanda has since resiled in his testimony before the Court during the trial of the other co-accused.

Learned State Counsel, assisted by ASI Dharambir submits that the petitioners are yet to join the investigation and have been evading the process of law for last more than six months. He further submits that even if the victim has turned hostile, in the light of serious allegations, the petitioners are still required for investigation and at least filing of challan against them.

Keeping in view the nature and gravity of the offence, no case of grant of anticipatory bail is made out.

Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed.

January 07, 2016

'dk kamra'

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE