

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.30730 of 2012(O&M)

Date of Decision:25.02.2016

Sunil Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

**Present: Mr.Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

Mr.M.S.Sidhu, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

**Mr.R.S.Mamli, Advocate,
for respondent Nos.2 and 3.**

KULDIP SINGH, J.(oral)

This petition is filed against the order dated 09.07.2009 (Annexure P-2) passed by the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sirsa, vide which, she refused to amend the charge so as to try the offences punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468 and 471 IPC and also challenged the order dated 24.01.2011 (Annexure P-4) passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sirsa, (Fast Track Court) vide which, the revision petition filed by the petitioner against the order of the Magistrate, was dismissed.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

It comes out that both the Courts below have applied their

mind to the facts of the case and found that there is no ground to amend the charge. At this stage, while exercising power under Section 482 Cr.P.C., this Court does not find any ground to interfere in the concurrent findings recorded by both the Courts below. No ground is made out to interfere with impugned order. Accordingly, present petition is hereby dismissed.

The petitioner is reminded that he can always move an application for amendment of charge if sufficient material comes forth in the evidence during trial.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

February 25, 2016
seema