

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(267)

CRM-M-27642-2016

Date of Decision:-22.09.2016

Mandeep Singh

.....Petitioner

V/S

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present : Mr. Nitin Thatai, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rimplejit Kaur, Assistant A.G. Punjab.

Mr. Manu Lohan, Advocate,
for the complainant.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.53 dated 06.08.2012 registered under Sections 341 and 506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Sadar Rampura, District Bathinda, Punjab, the petitioner is being prosecuted.

Now, there is a motion for quashment of FIR by way of compounding/compromise. Mr. Manu Lohan, Advocate for the complainant states that now the compromise has taken place between the parties. This Court had made an order dated August 11, 2016 directing the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate and get their statements recorded. The statements have been recorded by the Illaqa Magistrate and this Court had received the same. It is stated therein that compromise arrived at between the parties and the same is genuine and without any pressure or undue influence. Looking to the nature of offence registered in the FIR, I think,

parties be allowed to compound/compromise the offence in question.

In that view of the matter, FIR No.53 dated 06.08.2012 registered under Sections 341 and 506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Sadar Rampura, District Bathinda, Punjab is quashed by way of compounding/compromise along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

Disposed of.

September 22, 2016

Pankaj

(A.B. Chaudhari)

Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No