

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Crl. Misc. No.M-26777 of 2014

Date of Decision: 13.05.2016

Balwinder Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ? (Yes/No)**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not ? (Yes/No)**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ? (Yes/No)**

Present:- Mr. Sarju Puri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ritu Punj, Addl. A.G., Punjab
for the respondent-State.

Mr. Rajiv Vij, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order passed by this Court on 11.01.2016, the respondent No.2 has surrendered before the trial Court and has also been released on interim bail to its satisfaction.

Vide order dated 03.03.2016 passed by this Court, the comments of the Judicial Officer, who has passed order dated 02.04.2014, were sought.

In response to said directions, the comments of concerned Judicial Officer have been received, wherein, it has been mentioned that

due to inadvertence and rush of work, it was wrongly mentioned but bail was granted by considering merits as well. The explanation sent by the concerned Judicial Officer has been perused and accepted but the concerned Judicial Officer is directed to remain vigilant in future.

The petition is disposed of accordingly and the interim order dated 11.01.2016 passed by this Court is made absolute.

13.05.2016
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE