

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-26544-2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 01.04.2016**

Urmila Rani

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Vikas Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner

--

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

Through the instant petition, the petitioner is seeking quashing of the FIR.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that son of the petitioner had filed a divorce petition and in the reply filed by Mahinder Kaur, she had mentioned that the couple had started living separately and the petitioner was living separately and the present proceedings would be an abuse of the process of the Court.

With respect to the stage of the case, the counsel had stated that challan had been filed and charge had been framed.

Allegations are contained in the FIR. The police had investigated the case and had filed the challan. The trial is on. Considering the parameters laid down in **State Of Haryana And Ors. vs Ch. Bhajan Lal And Ors., 1992 AIR 604**, I find that the present case is not covered under any of the guidelines laid therein.

The petition is dismissed. The petitioner may raise all the issues before the trial Court.

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**

01.04.2016

Sunil