

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M- 27362 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 21.09.2016

Ish Kumar @ Esh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Sunil Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Addl. A.G., Punjab
assisted by Inspector Sanjeev Singla.

Mr. Rajesh Punj, Advocate.

Mr. Lokesh Sharma, Advocate.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No.107, dated 04.11.2015, registered under Sections 406 and 420 IPC, at Police Station City-I, Malerkotla, District Sangrur.

The learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case. In fact, he himself is an investor in the company. He is behind the bars for the last more than 10 months.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposed the bail application and states that the undertaking made by the

Managing Director of the company is not honoured.

Heard.

The amount involved in the instant FIR is covered by the undertaking of the Managing Director of the company whose bail petition has been dismissed. Since the undertaking made before this Court by main accused lacks *bona fide*, therefore, this Court is not inclined to grant the concession of bail.

Dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

21.09.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No