

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-27349 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 08.09.2016

Gurmeet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN**

Present: Mr. Ankur Lal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)**

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No. 429 dated 28.05.2016, registered under Sections 276, 336, 307 IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, at Police Station Sadar Karnal, District Karnal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case being the driver of the vehicle which banged the bank and barricades laid by the police. No injury was received by the police party. One of the co-accused, namely Sherdill @ Sherry has already been discharged by the police. Nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner and he is not involved in any other FIR. The petitioner is in custody since 28.05.2016.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application and states that petitioner actively participated in the crime being the driver of the car, however, he acknowledges the fact that no recovery was effected from the petitioner and he is not involved in any other FIR.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is not involved in any other FIR and he is custody since 28.05.2016. All the witnesses are police officials and out of total 16 PWs, none has been examined so far, therefore, it can be safely inferred that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

08.09.2016  
*sumit.k*

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)**  
**JUDGE**

|                             |     |    |
|-----------------------------|-----|----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned : | Yes | No |
| Whether Reportable :        | Yes | No |