

CRM-M-27343 of 2016 (O&M)

[1]

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.110

CRM No.M-27343 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: August 09, 2016

Pankaj Singla and another

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Petitioners in person along with
Mr. Kumar Vishav Aggarwal, Advocate.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

CRM No.24290 of 2016

Heard.

Application is allowed as prayed for.

Documents (Annexures P-1 & P-2) are taken on record and be
tagged at its appropriate place.

Main Case

By virtue of the instant petition preferred under Section 482 of
the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought issuance of
directions to respondent Nos. 2 & 3 to protect their lives and liberty at the
hands of respondent No. 4 and their relatives, by providing them security.

2. Notice to respondents No.1 to 3, only at this stage.

3. At the asking of Court, Mr. RPS Sidhu, AAG, Punjab accepts
notice on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab. Copy of the petition be

CRM-M-27343 of 2016 (O&M)

[2]

supplied to learned State counsel during the course of day.

4. As per the version unfolded by the petitioners, they are major in age and have solemnized marriage on August 02, 2016. In support thereof, they have placed on record photographs Annexure P-3.

5. Without expressing any opinion on the validity of the marriage and keeping in view the mandate of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P. and another, JT 2006 (6) SC 173**, the present petition is disposed of, with a direction to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala (Punjab) to look into the grievances narrated in their representation dated August 02, 2016 (Annexure P-4) and to take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the lives and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.

6. This order shall not be construed to be conferring the legitimacy or authenticity to the factum of marriage having been performed as well as their age, as the Court is clearly deprived of any means to determine the aforesaid facts.

August 09, 2016
Ankur

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No