

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-27339 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 12.08.2016

Satish Kumar @ Asha Ram and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Shailender Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana
assisted by Baam Chand.

Dr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Shranav Katyal, Advocate for the complanant.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought regular bail in case FIR No.197, dated 31.05.2016, registered under Sections 148, 323, 325, 506, 307 read with Section 149 IPC and Sections 25 & 27 of Arms Act, at Police Station Bhuna, District Fatehabad.

It is contended that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case. The petitioners' side also received injuries in the incident. No specific injury has been attributed to the petitioner except blunt injuries. The investigation has been completed and the challan stands presented. The petitioners are in custody since

03.06.2016.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the prayer of bail and submits that there are specific allegations against the the petitioners in the FIR.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

As per FIR, there are specific allegations against the petitioners No.1, 3 & 4 that they while armed with deadly weapons caused injuries to the complainant and his son, therefore, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to petitioner Nos. 1, 3 & 4 and the prayer qua them stands dismissed. Keeping in view the fact that no specific injury has been attributed to petitioner No.2, Sumit @ Dara; the challan stands presented, therefore, it can be safely inferred that trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed qua petitioner No.2. The petitioner No.2 Sumit @ Dara be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

12.08.2016
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No