

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-27328 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 27.09.2016

Shalu Gupta and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. G.S. Salana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. LM Gulati, Advocate for respondent No.4.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By way of the present petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners seek directions to respondent No.3 not to harass the petitioners in connivance with respondent No.4 and to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

The learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners are being harassed by the police at the instance of respondent No.4. The petitioners had also moved representation to respondent No.2 but no action has been taken upon the same.

The learned counsel for respondent No.4 has

controverted the above assertion.

Heard.

As per the averments made in the petition, the entire amount borrowed by the petitioners was repaid on 24.07.2013. This stand of the petitioners has belied by the document, vide Annexure R4/2, wherein the petitioners had undertaken to return the amount on 15.09.2014. The very foundation of the petitioners to seek direction appears to be shaky and against their own pleadings. It appears that the petitioners are trying to pressurize the respondent No.4 not to pursue the matter pertaining to the loan amount extended by him to the petitioners.

In view of the above, there is no merit in the instant petition and the same is dismissed.

27.09.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No