

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-2629-2015

Date of Decision: February 19, 2016

Narender

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr. Vishal Malik, Advocate,
Mr. Narinder Singh Behgal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, DAG, Haryana,
for the respondent.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J. (Oral):

Learned proxy counsel for the petitioner states that he has instructions to state at the bar that learned Appellate Court has decided the appeal and the revision against that order has been filed before this Court and, as such, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the State has no objection to the above prayer.

Ordered accordingly.

**(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE**

February 19, 2016
P Kapoor