

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-26352-2014 (O & M)
Date of decision:03.06.2016

Lalit Kumar Narang

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

Union Territory, Chandigarh and anr.

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Kailash Chander, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sharma, Advocate,
for U.T., Chandigarh.

Mr. Sumeet Goel, Advocate, for CBI.

Rajan Gupta, J. (oral)

Plea of the petitioner for quashing of FIR on the basis of compromise has already been rejected vide order dated May 17, 2016. Notice was issued to Union Territory and CBI to examine whether a racket was operating in Registering & Licensing Authority (RLA) Office at Chandigarh.

Learned counsel for Union Territory submits that number of measures have been taken to ensure that a public person does not face any difficulty at the time of getting registration of their vehicle, issuance of driving licences and other documents. All information is now displayed on the website. He further assures the court that if there is any deficit in the system, remedial measures shall be taken.

Mr. Goel, submits that CBI has suo motu jurisdiction to investigate the matter pertaining to U.T. In case, any such issue

comes to its notice, it shall take appropriate steps, if circumstances, so warrant. A report be submitted in this regard within six weeks. A copy of the connected petition i.e. CRM-M-17929-2016 listed today be handed over to learned counsel for CBI.

In view of the above, no further direction by this court is necessary. This petition is disposed of.

Petitioner shall, however, be at liberty to take all his pleas before the trial court at appropriate stage.

03.06.2016
sukhpreet

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE