

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-27169-2016

Date of decision: October 17, 2016.

Navneet Singh

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Punjab

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri Hitesh Pandit, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Rajni Gupta, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties. Perused the interim order dated 10.8.2016. Perused the impugned order passed under Section 319 Cr.P.C. by which the petitioner was asked to appear before the trial court to stand trial. The petitioner sought anticipatory bail which was rejected by the trial court. Pursuant to the interim order dated 10.8.2016 made by this Court, the petitioner appeared before the trial court and furnished the bail bonds.

In my opinion, no useful purpose will be served by putting the petitioner behind the bars pending the trial, since the petitioner has not misused the concession of anticipatory bail. In that view of the matter, interim order is made absolute.

Disposed of.

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

October 17, 2016.
kadyan

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No