

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-26250 of 2015
DECIDED ON: July 12, 2016

JATINDER SINGH

....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. S.K. Tandon, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Parveen K. Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. J.S. Cooner, Advocate,
for respondent No.5.

Mr. Pradeep Sharma, Advocate,
for respondent No.6.

JASPAL SINGH, J.

Through instant petition preferred under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to register FIR under Section 302, 34 IPC against respondents No. 5 and 6 and their companions.

2. In response to the notice of motion issued by this Court, reply by way of affidavit of Sh. Raj Kumar, HPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, Ambala has already been filed.

3. A perusal of aforesaid reply transpires that the local police had conducted a thorough inquiry into the complaint and it was prima facie established that death of deceased-Dilbagh Singh has been occurred due to injuries received by him in a road side accident caused by the deceased himself, as per intimation received from the Hospital, at the first instance. FIR could have been recorded earlier, as neither the injured nor any eye-witness turned up to inform the police or to get the case registered.

4. In view of the inquiry report and the contents of the reply, the instant petition has rendered infructuous and the same is disposed of as such. However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to have recourse to the other remedies available to him under law and further that without being prejudiced by any observation made in the inquiry report.

July 12, 2016
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE