

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 27112 of 2016  
Date of decision : August 16, 2016

Surender @ Chikna

..... Petitioner

v.

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

\*\*\*

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI**

\*\*\*

Present : Mr. Sandeep Lather, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Addl. AG Haryana

\*\*\*

**Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)**

The petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in case FIR No.160, dated 19.5.2015, registered under Sections 302, 201, 120-B, 34 of the IPC, at Police Station Sadar Jind.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that the petitioner has been in custody since 28.7.2015 and was implicated on the ground that his co-accused had made a confessional statement while in police custody to the effect that six years ago they were involved in the killing

of one Moli Brahmin, and that two other co-accused Bahadur @ Raj Kishan and Ved Parkash have been granted regular bail by this Court by order dated 14.7.2016 in CRM-M Nos.32836 of 2015 and 5729 of 2016 primarily on the ground that despite lapse of such a long time no other evidence has been unearthed by the police except for the statement of the co-accused.

Learned Addl. AG Haryana, on instructions from SI Kailash Chander, has accepted that even as regards the present petitioner, the only material is the confessional statement of the co-accused and no other evidence has been unearthed.

In these circumstances, without commenting upon the merits of the case, and keeping in view the period of custody already suffered by the petitioner, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Petition stands disposed of.

**August 16, 2016**  
**`kk'**

**( AJAY TEWARI )**  
**JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned:**

**Yes/No**

**Whether Reportable:**

**Yes/No**