

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(110)

CRM-M-26984-2016

Decided on: August 23, 2016.

Ketan Sood

.... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Naveen Bawa, Advocate, for the petitioner.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C for protection of life and liberty highlighting the high-handedness of respondent No.5.

When a question regarding the jurisdiction of this Court to issue directions to the respondents who are not having their office in Punjab, was put to the learned counsel for the petitioner, he placed reliance on judgment in **Tar Balbir Singh Vs. Union of India and another, 1992 (3) R.C.R. (Criminal) 210.** In the said case, the petitioner was resident of Chandigarh as such a direction was given by the Court that he would be examined at Jalandhar, where DRI had an office or at Chandigarh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner at this stage seeks permission to withdraw the petition with liberty to approach the competent authorities for enabling him to appear before an officer having jurisdiction in the area of Punjab.

Disposed of as withdrawn at this stage with liberty to avail the said remedy.

**(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE**

August 23, 2016

harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No