

**224 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(1) CRM No. M-26982 of 2016.

Sahil

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

**(2) CRM No. M-27021 of 2016.
Date of Decision: 22.09.2016.**

Amit

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. Silak Ram Hooda, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM M-26982 of 2016.

Mr. SPS Sidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM M-27021 of 2016.

Mr. Saurav Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

This order shall dispose of afore-mentioned two petitions seeking grant of regular bail in FIR No. 58 dated 23.02.2016 registered under Sections 148, 188, 379-B, 427, 436 and 506 read with Section 149 IPC at Police Station Murthal, District Sonapat.

It is contended that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the present case. The petitioners are not named in the FIR and the names of the petitioners have figured in the statement of co-accused. The petitioner, Sahil is student and he has appeared in the entrance test for admission in IIT while in custody. His career is at stake.

The petitioners are in custody since 01.03.2016.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel submits that the petitioners were arrested by the IO present before this Court. There is no previous animosity with the petitioners. The petitioners are clearly seen in the CCTV footage setting the educational institution at fire.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the case file.

Keeping in view the the serious nature of the allegations and particularly the fact that the IO arrested the petitioners from the spot, no case for bail is made out at this stage. Consequently, both the petitions are dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

22.09.2016.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No