

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

.....

Criminal Misc. No.M-2695 of 2016

.....

Date of decision:7.11.2016

Inderjit Singh

...Petitioner

v.

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

....

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Inderjit Singh

.....

Present: Mr. G.S. Samra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. D.S. Virk, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent-State.

Mr. Ankit Duggal, Advocate for the complainant-respondent
No.2.

.....

Inderjit Singh, J.

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. praying for the quashing of FIR No.71 dated 18.7.2010 (Annexure-P.1) registered for the offence under Section 304-A IPC at Police Station Bareta, District Mansa and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise (Annexure-P.3).

Notice of motion has been given in this case.

Mr. D.S. Virk, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and Mr. Ankit Duggal, learned Advocate has appeared for the complainant-respondent No.2 and

contested this petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab and have gone through the record.

In view of the judgment passed by a Division Bench of this Court in Baldev Singh v. State of Punjab and another, Criminal Misc. No.M-40769 of 2014, decided on 2.6.2016, in which it has been held that there can be no quashing of offence under Section 304-A IPC and subsequent proceedings solely on the basis of compromise arrived at between legal heirs/representatives of the victim (deceased) and the accused, I find no merit in this petition and the same is dismissed.

November 7, 2016.

(Inderjit Singh)
Judge

hsp

NOTE:	Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
	Whether reportable:	No