

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(119)

CRM-M-26914-2016

Decided on: December 16, 2016.

Sukhwinder Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Raj Paul Kansal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C filed by the petitioner claiming himself to be an Advocate.

It is contended that there is no evidence against the petitioner.

On asking of the Court, learned counsel for the petitioner informs that after presentation of the challan, the Special Judge has framed the charges against the petitioner.

In view of said circumstances, the present petition for quashing is not maintainable.

Disposed of as withdrawn without prejudice to the right of the petitioner to seek alternative relief of discharge/amendment of the charge.

**(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE**

December 16, 2016

harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No