

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-26907 of 2016 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 08.08.2016**

**Sunda Ram**

**...Petitioner**

**Versus**

**Smt. Imrati Devi**

**...Respondent**

**CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI**

Present: Mr. Manish Mehta, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

**Ritu Bahri, J.**

This petition has been filed against the judgment dated 05.05.2016 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Narnaul, dismissing the revision petition filed by Sunda Ram-husband (petitioner herein) against the order dated 16.01.2015 passed by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Narnaul, whereby respondent-wife has been awarded interim maintenance to the tune of Rs.2000/- per month.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is getting old age pension of Rs.1400/- per month and owns 1.25 acres of agricultural land and has no other sources of income. The respondent-wife is also getting old age pension at the rate of Rs.1400/- per month. He further argues that apart from the above said agricultural land, the petitioner has no other source of income. Moreover, he is 80 years of age.

After going through the impugned judgments, this Court is of the view that interim maintenance at the rate of Rs.2000/- per month has rightly been granted by both the Courts below in favour of the respondent-wife

keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and the same is not on the higher side. Moreover, the petitioner can lead sufficient evidence before the trial Court to prove his case, which can be considered at the time of final arguments in the petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C. At this stage, no ground is made out to interfere in the impugned order/judgment.

Dismissed.

08.08.2016  
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No