

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-26887 of 2016
Date of decision: 30.11.2016**

Naresh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Ram Darshan Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. S.K. Yadav, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

Ritu Bahri, J.

Quashing of FIR No. 109 dated 30.08.2014, under Sections 354-C/452/506 IPC, registered at Police Station, Satnali, District Mohindergarh (Annexure P-1) is sought on the basis of compromise dated 22.07.2016 (Annexure P-2).

The F.I.R was registered on the basis of complaint made by Sushma-respondent No.2 to the effect that on 19.08.2014, when she was taking bath in her bathroom, Naresh son of Dod Ram, who is living in their neighbourhood, entered her house and jump over her. Upon this, the complainant raised an alarm and tried to caught hold of Naresh from his leg, but he ran away. In this background, the FIR was registered.

After registration of the FIR, with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has now been amicably resolved between the parties vide compromise deed dated 22.07.2016 (Annexure P-2). In this regard complainant has given her affidavit dated 22.07.2016 (Annexure P-3).

In compliance with the order dated 05.08.2016 passed by this Court, the parties got recorded their statements before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate. Report from the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Mahendergarh, has been received in this regard. As per report, Sushma-respondent No.2 (complainant) made her statement on 22.08.2016 to the effect that with the intervention of respectable persons, she has compromised the matter with accused-Naresh out of her own free will and without any coercion or pressure. Now, she has no grudge against the accused. She has no objection if, the above said FIR is quashed. Separate statement of Naresh Kumar-petitioner was also recorded to the same effect. In view of separate statements given by the parties, the court is satisfied that the compromise is valid and genuine one.

Consequently, in view of the status report and the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429**, the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the case of **Kulwinder Singh and Ors. vs. State of Punjab and another 2007(3) RCR (Cr1.) 1052**, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 109 dated 30.08.2014, under Sections 354-C/452/506 IPC, registered at Police Station, Satnali, District Mohindergarh, is quashed with all consequential proceedings arising

therefrom qua the petitioner.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

30.11.2016
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No