

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-26877-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: October 26, 2016.

Sanjay

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Haryana and another

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.
Shri Surender Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.
Shri Ram Darshan Yadav, Advocate for respondent No.2.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Heard learned Counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.111 dated 3.9.2014, registered under Sections 323, 452 IPC, PS Satnali, District Mohindergarh, the petitioner is being prosecuted. In the meanwhile, there was a proposal for compromise which was arrived at between the parties (Annexure P-2). In view of this compromise, this Court had made an order on 5.4.2016 for recording of statements of the parties. Now, there is a report from JMIC, Mohindergarh through the District Judge, Narnaul, that the compromise indeed has been arrived at without any pressure or influence. I have seen the report as well as the statements. I am satisfied that the parties can be allowed to compound/compromise. I have also seen that the offences are of not serious nature. In that view of the matter, the petition is allowed and the FIR in question, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, is quashed by way of compounding/compromise.

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

October 26, 2016.

kadyan

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No