

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

125

CRM-M-26871-2016 (O&M).

Decided on: September 16, 2016.

Narender

.. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Haryana

.. Respondent(s)

* * *

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.M.S.BEDI

* * *

PRESENT Mr.Vivek Khatri, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

M.M.S. BEDI, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioner submits that neither the demand nor the acceptance or recovery stands established beyond shadow of doubt in the present case and that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case.

During the course of arguments, counsel for the petitioner informs that after framing of charges three witnesses have already been examined. After considering the contentions of the counsel for the petitioner, I am of the opinion that the petitioner seeks quashing of the FIR on the basis of alleged strong probable defence raised by him.

Taking into consideration the stage of the trial, I do not deem it appropriate to enter into niceties of the trial, at this stage to form an opinion that no offence is made out against the petitioner on the basis of the evidence.

At this stage, counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the petition with liberty to raise all the pleas taken up in this petition at opportune time.

The petition is disposed of being not maintainable with liberty to the petitioner to raise all the pleas before the trial Court at opportune time.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

September 16, 2016.

rka

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes / No
Yes / No