

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

206

**C.R.M-M No.26828 of 2016
Date of Decision : 20.12.2016**

Satish Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. C.M. Munjal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Amarjit Kaur Khurana, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. B.S. Aulakh, Advocate
for the complainant.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This is a petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.178 dated 27.10.2015 registered under Sections 326, 427, 323, 34 IPC, at P.S. City Sri Muktsar Sahib.

As per the allegations the petitioner went into the neighbouring shop of the complainant and caused injuries to him and some other persons.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that there is an unexplained injury to the petitioner also and for that injury he had filed a

to the order dated 12.08.2016 the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is ready to face the trial.

Learned Additional Advocate General on instructions from ASI Jitender Singh and the learned counsel for the complainant have not been able to explain the injury which has appeared in the FIR.

Both the parties are present before me. On my asking they informed that they are neighbouring shopkeepers for the last 30 years. I requested them that they should bury the hatchet and spend time on running their business, but it does not seem likely.

In the circumstances, keeping in view the entire conspectus of facts, without going into the merits of the case, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Petition is allowed. Interim order dated 12.08.2016 is made absolute.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

December 20, 2016
ashish

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No

Whether reportable - Yes/No