

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-2600-2014 (O & M)

Date of decision:12.02.2016

Vikram Sharma and ors.

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and anr.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Vinay Kumar, Advocate,
for Mr. Karanjit Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner(s).

Mr. P.S. Hundal, AAG, Haryana.

None for respondent No.2.

Rajan Gupta, J. (oral)

Present petition is directed against the order passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Tarn Taran, whereby an application moved by the complainant under Section 311 Cr.P.C. has been disposed of.

It appears that case was registered on the allegations of cheating, forgery and impersonation on the part of the accused. During the pendency of trial, supplementary challan was presented wherein two witnesses were cited as prosecution witnesses. At the same time, instant application under Section 311 Cr.P.C. was moved to examine these witnesses. Trial court observed that there was no necessity of moving the application under Section 311 Cr.P.C. as the witnesses only have to be summoned to depose before the court. It, thus, granted two opportunities to the prosecution to examine these witnesses.

I find no infirmity with the order passed by the court below. Learned counsel for the petitioner has not been able to point out how the petitioner would be prejudiced by the impugned order.

No merits. Dismissed.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

12.02.2016
sukhpreet