

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-26818-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 23.08.2016

Rajesh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN**

Present: Mr. Amit Jaiswal, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana,  
assisted by SI Naresh Chand.

Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate,  
for the complainant.

**JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)**

The petitioner has approached this Court under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short, 'Cr.P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.236 dated 28.05.2016, registered under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, at Police Station Taroari, District Karnal.

Learned counsel contends that the petitioner is not the beneficiary. The only allegation against him is that he allegedly introduced Raj Kumar and Kusum to the bankers.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that the petitioner is the kingpin, who forged every possible document pertaining to complainant and his wife. He also arranged two persons to impersonate as Raj Kumar and Kusum. A bank account was also

opened on the basis of forged documents. An amount of ₹19.17 lacs has been fraudulently obtained by the petitioner from the bank, which is still to be recovered.

Keeping in view the fact that the identity of two persons who impersonated as Raj Kumar and Kusum is yet to be revealed and a huge amount of ₹19.17 lacs is still to be recovered, this Court feels that it is a fit case where custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required.

In this view of the matter, no case for grant of bail is made out, at this stage.

Dismissed.

Anything noticed hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion of the Court on the merits of the case.

**23.08.2016**

*atulsethi*

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking / reasoned :      Yes      No

Whether Reportable :      Yes      No