

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-26801-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 08.11.2016**

BALWANT SINGH

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Hamid Hussain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vivek Goyal, Advocate
for respondent no.2.

--

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to order dated 05.08.2016, passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and has cooperated.

Learned State counsel affirms this fact.

The police proceedings show that there is a reference to a dispute relating to a plot. No recovery is to be effected. Custodial interrogation is not necessary. Looking to all these circumstances, but without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 05.08.2016 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i)(ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure and the condition that the petitioner would not approach the complainant.

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**

08.11.2016

Sunil

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No