

210

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-26589 of 2016

Date of decision: September 22, 2016

Parveen Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Prashant Vashisth, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. AG Punjab.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties and perused the interim order dated 04.08.2016 granting ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

In FIR No.66 dated 13.07.2016, under Sections 406/420 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Bhadson, Patiala, petitioner was granted ad-interim anticipatory bail vide order dated 04.08.2016 by this Court.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Head Constable Rachpal Singh, states that the petitioner has joined investigation and custody is not required. Looking to the nature of offence, I think the interim order dated 04.08.2016 granting ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner, deserves to be confirmed.

In that view of the matter, interim order dated 04.08.2016

granting ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

September 22, 2016

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No