

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-26586 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: August 04, 2016

Parwinder Singh

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr.Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

The petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.39 dated 05.06.2016 under Sections 420, and 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Rampura, District Bathinda.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the record.

From the record, I find that the FIR in the present case has been got registered against Parwinder Singh and one Baljit Kaur on the allegations that they have cheated the complainant to the tune of ₹4,10,000/- on the pretext of sending the complainant abroad. Parwinder Singh petitioner is the main accused against whom the allegations are levelled regarding giving money etc. and not sending the complainant to abroad.

In view of the nature and gravity of the offence and in view of

the fact that petitioner is required for custodial interrogation, I do not find it a fit case where petitioner is entitled for benefit of anticipatory bail. In no way, the case of present petitioner is on parity with Baljit Kaur, who has already been released on anticipatory bail. No benefit can be given to present petitioner on this ground also.

Keeping in view the above facts and circumstances of the present case, without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I do not find any merit in the present petition and the same is dismissed

August 04, 2016
Vgulati

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

No