

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

257

**CRM No.M-25628 of 2015
Date of Decision: 18.01.2016.**

Anita Sekhri

...Petitioner

Versus

Pran Nath Kanwal

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Subhash Chand, Advocate for
Mr. Prateek Sodhi, Advocate,
for the respondent.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that since compromise has been effected between the parties. The instant petition has been rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as having been infructuous, in view of the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

January 18, 2016

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**