

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(206)

CRM-M-25528-2015

Decided on: January 06, 2016.

Surender

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. R.S. Arya, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. C.S. Bakshi, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate, for the complainant.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that two eye witnesses Ayub and Kallu have been examined but they turned hostile. It is also claimed by the learned counsel for the petitioner that it is a case of no evidence. Statements of PW-9 Ayub and PW-11 Kallu have been placed on record.

On the instructions of SI Ramesh Chander, learned State counsel informs that arguments in the present case have been heard and the case is fixed for judgment on 11.01.2016.

Avoiding appreciation of evidence at this stage, this petition is disposed of with a direction that in case the arguments have been heard in the present case and the same is not decided by 19.01.2016, the trial Court shall release the petitioner on bail on 20.01.2016 on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to its satisfaction.

**(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE**

January 06, 2016
harsha