

210

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-26384 of 2016

Date of decision: October 04, 2016

Samsuddin

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana.

Mr. M.D. Khan, Advocate for the complainant.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for the complainant and perused the interim order dated 08.08.2016 granting ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

In FIR No.68 dated 27.02.2016, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Hathin, District Palwal, petitioner was granted ad-interim anticipatory bail vide order dated 08.08.2016 by this Court.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Assistant Sub Inspector Mahender Singh, makes a statement that the petitioner has joined investigation and the custody is not required.

Learned counsel for the complainant vehemently opposed the plea for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

In that view of the matter, interim order dated 08.08.2016

granting ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

October 04, 2016

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No