

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.202

CRM-M No.25407 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 26.12.2016

Ashok Kumar Kukreja

....Petitioner

versus

State of U.T. Chandigarh Administration and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Abhinav Oberoi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sharma, APP, U.T., Chandigarh.

Mr. Vicky Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Sapan Dhir, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Through the present petition, quashing of FIR No.463 dated 06.11.2014, under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 474 IPC, registered at Police Station Sector-39, Chandigarh, is sought for on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-1).

Through orders of this Court dated 03.08.2015 and 23.09.2016, the parties were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate to get their statements recorded, who in turn was directed to send a report to this Court.

As directed, report dated 19.10.2016 from the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Chandigarh, has been received, as per which the parties recorded their statements before the Illaqa Magistrate in terms of the compromise arrived at between them.

In view of the above, continuation of the proceedings in pursuance to the afore-referred FIR would be an abuse of the process of law and in terms of the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others versus State of Haryana and others, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, I deem it just and proper to allow the petition and resultantly quash the FIR No.463 dated 06.11.2014, under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 474 IPC, registered at Police Station Sector-39, Chandigarh, and all proceedings arising therefrom.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

December 26, 2016

Jyoti 1

(i)	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
(ii)	Whether reportable	Yes/No