

CRM-M-26319-2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 31.08.2016

Sadhu Singh Randhawa

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI**Present:** Mr. Mansur Ali, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Simsi Dhir Malhotra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Beant Singh Seemar, Advocate
for the complainant.**M.M.S. BEDI, JUDGE (ORAL)**

Petitioner has been in custody with effect from 13.06.2016 in a case registered at the instance of Joginder Singh and Harkanwal Kaur alleging that petitioner had, in connivance with Alam Singh and Izreek Singh, persuaded the complainants to invest a sum of Rs.75 lacs in order to get business visa of Canada for their family and to help them in getting settled in Canada by investing in a store.

The complainant, Harkanwal Kaur, has appeared in the Court, to oppose the application for bail contending that she is working as Stenographer in the Secretariat in Revenue Department and the petitioner had persuaded her and her husband to invest money in Canada in a store.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that as a matter of fact, petitioner himself has suffered losses on account of the

similar investments as the complainants.

Without entering into the controversy on merits, it is sufficient to observe that the investigation in the present case is complete. Challan has already been presented. The trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future. The petitioner can be granted the concession of bail in such circumstances.

The petition is allowed. It is ordered that petitioner will be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court subject to the following conditions:-

- 1) Petitioner will not tamper with the evidence or hamper the further proceedings by, directly or indirectly, inducing or threatening the witnesses.
- 2) Petitioner will not leave India during the pendency of the trial and will deposit his passport with the trial Court.

Nothing said in this order will prejudice the right of the complainant to recover the amount, in accordance with law, by availing the alternative remedy.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

31.08.2016
Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No