

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.208

CRM-M No.26250 of 2016

Date of decision: 16.11.2016

Sukhjit Singh

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Shilesh Gupta, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Through the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail in FIR No.87 dated 08.12.2015, under Sections 447, 511, 427, 323, 148, 149 and 336 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 27 Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Kot-Fatta, District Bathinda (Punjab).

On 02.08.2016, while issuing notice of motion, this Court had granted ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner on furnishing of bail bonds by him amounting to ₹10,000/- with one surety of like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court. Thereafter, through orders dated 16.09.2016 and 04.10.2016, the petitioner was directed to re-join the investigation and cooperate with the Investigating Officer.

Learned State counsel submits that as per the order dated 02.08.2016, the petitioner joined the investigation and also furnished his bail bonds amounting to ₹10,000/- with one surety of the like amount to

the satisfaction of the trial Court and as per orders dated 16.09.2016 and 04.10.2016, the petitioner re-joined the investigation with the Investigating Officer. He has further submitted that at this stage the petitioner is no longer needed by the investigating agency.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the ad-interim anticipatory bail granted to the petitioner through order dated 02.08.2016 is made absolute.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

November 16, 2016
Jyoti 1

(i)	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
(ii)	Whether reportable	Yes/No