

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 26234 of 2016
Date of decision : 16.9.2016**

Amrik Singh

.....Petitioner

v.

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present : Mr. S.K. Choudhary, Advocate, for the petitioner

Mr. APS Gill, AAG, Punjab

Ritu Bahri, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No. 139 dated 20.12.2014, under Sections 498A, 406 and 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Sujanpur, District Pathankot on the basis of compromise dated 5.7.2016 (Annexure P-1).

Brief facts of the case are that respondent No.2 was got married with the petitioner on 31.1.2013. After some time, the in-law of respondent No.2 started taunting and manhandling her for bringing less dowry. Her in laws on the asking of her husband, who was in Australia at that time, have thrown her out of the matrimonial home on 4.8.2014 for bringing ₹ 5 lakhs from her parents. Since then she was living with her parents. The petitioner and his relatives are threatening her for giving divorce to the petitioner, which resulted into the registration of the present FIR.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the matter has now been amicably settled between the parties with the intervention of friends, elders and respectable, vide compromise deed dated 5.7.2016 (Annexure P-1).

During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court was directed to record the statements of all the concerned parties, with regard to the genuineness and validity or otherwise of the compromise deed by way of order dated 2.8.2016 by this Court.

In compliance of order dated 2.8.2016, learned trial Court has submitted the report vide letter dated 23.8.2016, which indicates that parties appeared before it and got recorded their respective statements with regard to validity of compromise. As per the report, compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure or coercion from any corner. Now no dispute is pending between the parties.

Consequently, in view of above said report and in view of the judgments of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Dr. Arvind Barsaul etc. v. State of Madhya Pradesh and another, 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 910**; **“Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab, 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429”**, and the law laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in the case of **“Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052”**, no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 139 dated 20.12.2014, under Sections 498A, 406 and 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Sujampur, District Pathankot is quashed on the basis of compromise dated 5.7.2016 (Annexure P-1) and all the criminal proceedings arising out of the said FIR also stands quashed.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

16.9.2016
Ashwani

Speaking/Reasoned
Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No