

222

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**Date of decision: August 11, 2016
CRM-M-26180 of 2016**

Baljinder Kaur @ Babbu

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CRM-M-26420 of 2016

Rupinder Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. P.B.S. Goraya, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-26180 of 2016).

Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners (in CRM-M-26420 of 2016).

Mr. Shilesh Gupta, Addl. AG Punjab.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.74 dated 26.05.2016, under Sections 384, 420, 120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Bhikhiwind, District Tarn Taran, petitioners were arrested on 28.05.2016 and are in Jail since then.

It is not in dispute that the petitioners are the women and the offence in question is the first offence of its kind. Undoubtedly, the offence committed by the petitioners are prima-facie serious and

the petitioners should not be granted bail. However, I find that the challan has been filed and the petitioners do not have any similar offence to their credit and further the fact that they are women. In this scenario, I am inclined to grant bail, subject to the petitioners furnishing undertaking that they will not commit such or any other type of offence in future.

In that view of the matter, these petitions are allowed. Petitioners be released on bail subject to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned, subject to their furnishing undertaking that they will not commit such or any other type of offence in future. Petitioners shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

August 11, 2016

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No