

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(114)

CRM-M-26095-2016

Decided on: October 20, 2016.

Manju

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Rajiv Vij, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. C.S. Bakhshi, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

Petitioner is mother-in-law of deceased Ruchi who was married to son of the petitioner Munish and had allegedly been killed by Munish whose half burnt body was recovered from a canal. The prosecution agency has recorded the statement of sister of deceased Nupur and other family members.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has placed on record the statements of the witnesses made in the Court on oath to contend that there is no specific attribution to the petitioner of having actually participated in the alleged murder of Ruchi.

Mr. C.S. Bakhshi, Addl. A.G., Haryana appearing on behalf of the State has submitted that out of 23 witnesses, 17 have already been examined and that appreciation of evidence at this stage may prejudice the right of the petitioner or the prosecution agency.

With the assistance of learned counsel for the parties, I have gone through the statements of the witnesses.

The role of the petitioner and her actual participation and her culpability along with her son would certainly be a debatable issue.

The petitioner being a lady; the material witnesses having already been examined; chances of tampering with the evidence being remote, she can be granted the concession of bail, considering the period of detention.

The petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on her furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

October 20, 2016
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No