

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No.M-26092 of 2016
Date of Decision: 26.10.2016

Aarti Rani & another

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

The State of Haryana & others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present:- None for the petitioners.

Mr. Vishal Garg, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 is for directing respondents no.1 to 3 not to harass the petitioners and also not to interfere in their matrimonial life at the instance of private respondents.

Learned State counsel has filed reply by way of affidavit of Vijay Kumar Kakkar, HPS, D.S.P., HQ Sirsa, District Sirsa which is taken on record.

On the strength of the aforesaid affidavits, learned State counsel says that statement of respondent no.4 – Om Parkash, who is father of petitioner no.1, has been recorded by the police on 11.8.2016, wherein he has stated that his daughter Aarti Rani is adult and in case she wants to live with Vinod Kumar as his wife, he has no objection to the same.

In this view of the matter, no further order is called for in this petition.

Disposed of.

However, in case the petitioners still apprehend any danger to their life and liberty, they are at liberty to approach respondent no.3, who shall redress their grievance in accordance with law.

October 26, 2016
AK

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No